organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Carl Henrik Görbitz^a* and Einar Sagstuen^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway, and ^bDepartment of Physics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1048 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

Correspondence e-mail: c.h.gorbitz@kjemi.uio.no

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 105 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.001 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.037 wR factor = 0.100 Data-to-parameter ratio = 28.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. N-Acetyl-L-alanine

The crystal structure of the title compound, $C_5H_9NO_3$, has been investigated as part of a search for suitable materials for electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) radiation dosimetry. Received 14 April 2004 Accepted 19 April 2004 Online 24 April 2004

Comment

The simple amino acid alanine has, during the last 15 years, been developed to be the standard material for radiation dosimetry using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrometry as the readout technique (Regulla & Deffner, 1982). EPR/alanine dosimetry is simple, versatile, reproducible and non-destructible upon dose readout. The disadvantage is the sensitivity; alanine is, for all practical purposes, usable only for doses above 1 Gy and is thus not well suited for clinical work. A search for more suitable materials for EPR dosimetry has been pursued in several laboratories over recent years (Vestad et al., 2003; Lund et al., 2004). N-Acetyl-L-alanine, (I), is one such possible material. However, to learn the dosimetric properties of this compound and to understand the prospects of enhancing the sensitivity, there is a need to characterize the physical properties of radiation-induced radicals in crystalline (I) using EPR spectroscopy. Accordingly, knowledge of the crystal structure of (I) is necessary.



The molecular structure of (I) is illustrated in Fig. 1. Bond lengths and angles are normal. Crystal structures are available (Cambridge Structural Database, Version 5.25 of November 2003; Allen, 2002) for seven other N-acetyl derivatives of the 20 common L-amino acids: N-acetylglycine (Mackay, 1975), Nacetyl-L-phenylalanine (Stout et al., 2000), N-acetyl-L-cysteine (Takusagawa et al., 1981), N-acetyl-L-glutamine (Narasimhamurthy et al., 1976), N-acetyl-L-tyrosine (Koszelak & van der Helm, 1981), N-acetyl-L-tryptophan (Yamane et al., 1977) and N-acetyl-L-glutamic acid (Dobson & Gerkin, 1997). The structures of N-acetyl-L-norvaline (Lovas et al., 1974) and the racemates N-acetyl-DL-methionine (Ponnuswamy & Trotter, 1985) and N-acetyl-DL-valine (Carroll et al., 1990) are relevant additions to this group. A typical feature for these compounds (and indeed for N-acyl amino acids in general; Chen & Parthasarathy, 1977) is the presence of -COOH··· O = C(amide) and $>N - H \cdots O = C(carboxyl)$ hydrogen bonds. The former is missing only for N-acetyl-DL-valine, the

Carl Henrik Görbitz et al. • C₅H₉NO₃

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

0860



Figure 1

The molecular structure of *N*-acetyl-L-alanine. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size.



Figure 2

The molecular packing and unit cell viewed along the *c* axis. Spheres show the positions of O3 atoms in neighbouring molecules, included here to illustrate the hydrogen-bond network. Hydrogen bonds with N–H and O–H donors are shown as dashed black lines and the C2–H21···O3 hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed orange lines (the C5–H53···O3 interaction runs parallel to the viewing direction and is not visible).

latter only for *N*-acetyl-L-cysteine. Compound (I) thus shares its two most important intermolecular interactions (Table 2) with almost all other *N*-acetyl amino acids, and even has essentially the same folded molecular conformation [C1-C2-N1-C4 = -70.77 (7)°; Table 1] as *N*-acetyl-L-phenylalanine, *N*-acetyl-L-tyrosine, *N*-acetyl-L-norvaline and the Lenantiomer in *N*-acetyl-DL-methionine. Nevertheless, the specific hydrogen-bond pattern of (I) is not found for any of the compounds listed above. A very similar structure with the same overall crystal packing pattern has, however, been observed for *N*-acetyl-(*S*)-isovaline, (II) (Crisma *et al.*, 1998). The only difference between the two pertains to the weak C2-H21...O3 hydrogen bonds of (I) (Table 2), which are broken in (II) as the separation of hydrogen-bonded zigzag layers of peptide molecules is increased to make room for the two extra methyl groups (Fig. 2). In the process, the length of the longest axis is increased from 11.5449 (3) Å for (I) to 14.577 (2) Å for (II).

Experimental

N-Acetyl-L-alanine was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. Crystals were prepared by recrystallization from a methanol solution at room temperature.

Crystal data

C₅H₉NO₃ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $M_{\rm r} = 131.13$ Cell parameters from 10 042 Orthorhombic, P21212 reflections a = 10.3879 (2) Å $\theta = 2.6 - 44.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.11~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ b = 11.5449(3) Å c = 5.74260 (10) ÅT = 105 (2) K $V = 688.69 (3) \text{ Å}^3$ Plate, colourless $1.15 \times 1.00 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.265 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Data collection Bruker SMART CCD 3149 independent reflections diffractometer 2839 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$ w scans Absorption correction: multi-scan $\theta_{\rm max} = 44.8^{\circ}$ (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $h = -19 \rightarrow 20$ $T_{\rm min}=0.688,\ T_{\rm max}=0.997$ $k = -22 \rightarrow 22$ 14 223 measured reflections $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$ Refinement Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0667P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ + 0.0041P] $wR(F^2) = 0.100$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.12 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.48 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 3149 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 110 parameters Only coordinates of H atoms refined

Table 1

Selected torsion angles (°).

O1-C1-C2-N1	149.71 (7)	C2-N1-C4-O3	-1.92(9)
C1-C2-N1-C4	-70.77 (7)		

Table	2		
TT 1		1	1.

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1\cdots O1^i$	0.783 (15)	2.190 (15)	2.9586 (8)	167.0 (12)
O2−H2···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	1.793 (19)	2.5831 (7)	158 (2)
$C2-H21\cdots O3^{iii}$	0.995 (14)	2.624 (13)	3.3211 (8)	127.1 (11)
$C5\!-\!H53\!\cdots\!O3^i$	0.903 (18)	2.65 (2)	3.3641 (9)	136.8 (13)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z - 1; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (iii) 1 - x, -y, z.

Positional parameters were refined for all H atoms. $U_{\rm iso}$ values were $1.2U_{\rm eq}$ (methylene and amide) or $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ (carboxylate and methyl) of the carrier atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The purchase of the Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer was made possible through support from the Research Council of Norway (NFR).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Bruker (1998). SMART. Version 5.054. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.22. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carroll, P. J., Stewart, P. L. & Opella, S. J. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 243–246.
- Chen, C. & Parthasarathy, R. (1977). Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 11, 9–18.
- Crisma, M., Valle, G., Formaggio, F., Toniolo, C., Broxterman, Q. B. & Kamphuis, J. (1998). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 213, 313.

- Dobson, A. J. & Gerkin, R. E. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 73-76.
- Koszelak, S. N. & van der Helm, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1122-1124.
- Lovas, G., Kalman, A. & Argay, G. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2882-2883.
- Lund, E., Gustafsson, H., Danilczuk, M., Sastry, M. D., Lund, A., Vestad, T. A., Malinen, E., Hole, E. O. & Sagstuen, E. (2004). *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 61. In the press.
- Mackay, M. F. (1975). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 4, 225-228.
- Narasimhamurthy, M. R., Venkatesan, K. & Winkler, F. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 768–771.
- Ponnuswamy, M. N. & Trotter, J. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 917-919.
- Regulla, D. F. & Deffner, U. (1982). Appl. Radiat. Isot. 33, 1101-1114.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stout, K. L., Hallock, K. J., Kampf, J. W. & Ramamoorthy, A. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, e100.
- Takusagawa, F., Koetzle, T. F., Kou, W. W. H. & Parthasarathy, R. (1981). Acta Cryst. B**37**, 1591–1596.
- Vestad, T. A., Malinen, E., Lund, A., Hole, E. O. & Sagstuen, E. (2003). Appl. Radiat. Isot. 59, 181–188.
- Yamane, T., Andou, T. & Ashida, T. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 1650-1653.